

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,199	12/21/2001	Thomas J. Lanoue	25653.0006.0	8442
30166	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE PLLC			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
300 N. GREENE STREET SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBORO, NC 27401			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/026,199	LANOUE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2005.					
·	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Pro-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagenbucher [US 4,129,938] in view of Martincic et al. [US 3,548,355].

Hagenbucher discloses a transformer comprising:

- a tubular coil formed of a plurality of layers formed from a length of conductive material having a central axis with insulation layer, wherein the coil having an open core;
- a plurality of cooling ducts [2, figure 1] spaced between the plurality of conductive material layers, wherein the cooling duct formed of a fiber-reinforced material and having an elliptical cross-section with a linear dimension being greater a width and defining a cooling passage that is parallel to the central axis of the conductive coil; and
- a resin [abstract] encapsulating the plurality of conductive material layers and the plurality of cooling ducts.

Hagenbucher discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific material used to form the cooling ducts.

Martincic et al. discloses a resin molded coil for a transformer comprising elliptical cross-

Page 3

section cooling ducts [72] disposed in encapsulated resin [70], wherein the cooling ducts formed

of polyester mixed with fiberglass. Martincic et al. inherently discloses the fiberglass polyester

in the form of polyester resin.

It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the duct design of Martincic et al. in Hagenbucher for the purpose of

protecting the ducts during coil manufacture.

Regarding claims 21-23, 30-32, 34, 36-37 and 39, the specific resin material use for the

cooling ducts and the resin encapsulation would have been an obvious design consideration for

the purpose of providing mechanical strength. Martincic et al. discloses the cooling duct

material differs from encapsulating resin material.

Regarding claim 28, the specific length to width ratio of the cooling duct tube would have

been an obvious design consideration based on the intended size of the device.

Regarding claims 24, 33 and 38, the specific method would have been necessitated by

the apparatus.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-40 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,199

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TTN

Trujen T. Nguyên

Page 4